CATEGORY	CONSIDERATIONS	MOST EFFECTIVE
Design/ Layout	-What is the goal of the Plan document? Creating good feelings or	-Chicago
	information transfer - or both?	-Philadelphia
	-What is the balance between content and design elements?	-Portland
Presentation of Info / Data Tone	-Making data interesting and accessible - not boring.	-Chicago
		-Philadelphia
		-Portland
	-To what extent is the report a document to promote City actions?	-Chicago
	-Those plans with an upbeat tone may be more effective in reaching a	-Philadelphia
	wider audience?	-Portland
Length	-The Plan should be as short as possible - 60-70 pages seems the	-Chicago
	maximum.	-Philadelphia
	-Consider putting detailed calculations, tables, etc. in separate back up	-Portland
	documents.	-r oi tiailu
Organization	***************************************	3
		-San Francisco (four proposed categories)
Methods	Is it accessary to avaluate avery measure for CHC impact and cost?	Chicago
	-Is it necessary to evaluate every measure for GHG impact and cost? -What measures should be evaluated?	-Chicago -Melbourne
		-Melbourne
	-Ideal is to conduct detailed analysis for all measures.	
	-Consider developing criteria for selecting actions.	
Targets	-What units to put targets in (percentage terms, GHG units, energy, etc.)?	-Melbourne
	-Where and how to show the targets in the report?	-Philadelphia
	-How each objective or action is related to a category or target (like a	-Portland
	hierarchy of nested topics)?	
Track Progress	-What are plans to track progress?	-Chicago
	-Organize targets and actions in a way that is trackable?	-Denver
	-To what extent can proposed actions be implemented in the near term?	-Philadelphia
	-Consider making trackability of action a criteria for selection.	-Portland
Actions/Measures	-What is the right balance between having too few and too many actions?	-Philadelphia
	-How detailed to make actions?	-San Francisco
	-To what extent are actions explicitly linked and integrated with policies,	-Chula Vista - integration into City policy
	procedures, departments?	-Cridia vista - integration into City policy
	F	
Comprehensiveness	***************************************	-Philadelphia
		-Portland
Treatment of Adaptation	-Consider making adaptation a separate document.	-Melbourne (very comprehensive separate
	-How should the adaptation topics be organized?	document)
Communitywide v. City Operations	***************************************	-Chicago
		-Melbourne (separate report for city operations)
		-Philadelphia
		-Portland
Leadership	-To what extent to link an outgoing Mayor with the plan?	-Chicago
	-How can it be made clear that the City is a leader without having the	-Philadelphia
	Mayor front and center?	

DRAFT 2-23-11